



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,689	11/08/2001	Daniel Perlman	073442-4701	7076
7590 01/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Dr. Daniel Per		TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
57 Bedford Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 103		1714		
Lexington, MA	. 02420	DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Second		AS			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/010,689	PERLMAN, DANIEL			
7.007.00.0	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either; condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of thi : (1) a timely filed amendmo beal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of it no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY v 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peri ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the dat bire later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT The date on which the petition un od of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months aft	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the reply originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 c					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:				
 (a) ⋈ they raise new issues that would require fu (b) ⋈ they raise the issue of new matter (see Not 	te below);	,			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ination(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wor	, ,	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request		en considered but does NOT place the			
application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by		OLELY to issues which were newly			
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be ente	red or b)☐ will be entered and an			
explanation of how the new or amended claims		ded below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/S.				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		,			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-27,30,34 and 36-40.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:		Ceptia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/010,689

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment to the specification is new matter. While the product data sheets, letters and declaration show that the plastic is 99+ pure, the documents do not provide for nor support "plastics containing less than one percent of inorganic materials." The materials in the plastics could be organic. Also, because the limitations of claim 39 are now more specific, further consideration is required. The pending claims are not all identified. On page 4, the claims begin with the last two lines of some unknown claim and continue with claim . .